IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 118 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 6192 OF 2005

Sou. Mangal Mahadeo surve
and Ors. ... Petitioenrs

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Salunkhe h/for Mr. Dinesh Bhosale for Petitioners.

Mrs. M.P. Thakur, A.G.P. for R. Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &**
**MRS ROSHAN DALVI,J.**
**DATED: SEPTEMBER 01, 2006**

**P.C.:**

. Mr. Salunkhe holding for Mr.Bhosale, advocate for the Petitioner seeks time on the ground that Mr. Bhosale is not available today.

. We have gone through the review petition. The only ground raised is that the respondent No. 6 was wrong to refuse the allotment. When the Petition was disposed of by us on 23rd November, 2005, we have noted that the entire case of the Petitioner was that there was assurance by the Respondent NO. 6 that she will be rehabilitated. We have noted that such relief cannot be granted and appropriate remedy for the Petitioner would be by way of a suit.

We find no error apparent warranting review. Hence, review petition disposed of.

**(MRS.ROSHAN DALVI,J.)** **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**